

(Received 05/11/2010 via email)

Draft Plan item V:

"(a) Continue working on the rapid science study for the Two-Gates Fish Protection Project"

"(b) Construct and implement the Two-Gates ..."

First, if it is a "rapid" science study will it be comprehensive?

Second, there is no qualifier that said if the scientific study showed gates weren't effective, don't construct them. Constructing the gates is a step of its own. There's no Environmental Impact Report (EIR) step listed or any other updated project plan. There's nothing that addresses the safety, boating, and economic issues raised by our communities.

Looks to me like it's attempt to move forward with the Two-Gates project even though the US Department of the Interior stated (see the AJR 38 Bill Analysis) that they were concerned with the lack of scientific study, the escalating cost of the project, and the potential impacts of the project as raised in over 1400 comment letters during the review period.

Rich